

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	1, 0.0. 20201						
TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	AT	NTOR	FIRST NAMED INVE	G DATE	APPLICATION NO.		
2328-111	Α		HINKKANEN	01/29/98	09/015,399		
XAMINER	EX	7 [HM22/0626				
, M	LUBET			i ERNST 8	ROTHWELL FIGG ERNST		
PAPER NUMBER	ART UNIT				555 13TH S		
12	1644		÷ = .	20004	WASHINGTON		
06/26/00	DATE MAILED:						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/015,399

Applicant(s)

Hinkkanen

Examiner

101

Martha Lubet

Group Art Unit 1644

ТН	IE PERI	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🔀	expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final	ejection.
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final re is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the rejection.	jection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever esponse expire later than six months from the date of the final
	date or	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CF on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of th lated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period	the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of e fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be
	Appell period	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Noti od for response set forth above, whichever is later). See	ce of Appeal filed on (or within any 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plicant t is NO	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{\qquad May\ 25,\ 2}$ NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allow	has been considered with the following effect, ance:
X	The p	proposed amendment(s):	
	X w	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an A	opeal Brief.
	□ w	will not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consider	leration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		issues for appeal.	orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a cor	
	NO		
	□ A _I	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection	n(s):
X	Newl separ	wly proposed or amended claims varate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowabl	
MK	The a	wly proposed or amended claims arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable	
	The a for al See a	wly proposed or amended claims	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims.
	The a for al See a The a the E	wly proposed or amended claims	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	The a for al See a the E	wly proposed or amended claims	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	The a for al See a The a the E	wly proposed or amended claims arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been allowance because: a attached sheet. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows ims allowed: none	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a for al See a The a the E For p Claim	wly proposed or amended claims arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been allowance because: a attached sheet. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows ims allowed: none	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a for al See a The a the E For p Claim Claim	wly proposed or amended claims	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a for al See a the E. For p Claim Claim The p	wly proposed or amended claims parate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been allowance because: a attached sheet. a affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows ims allowed: none ims objected to: none ims rejected: 1-10 and 17-20	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a for al See a the E. For p Claim Claim The p	wly proposed or amended claims parate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been allowance because: attached sheet. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is a Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows ims allowed: none ims objected to: none ims rejected: 1-10 and 17-20 a proposed drawing correction filed on the the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a for al See a the E. For p Claim Claim The p Note	wly proposed or amended claims parate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been allowance because: attached sheet. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is a Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows ims allowed: none ims objected to: none ims rejected: 1-10 and 17-20 a proposed drawing correction filed on the the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a for al See a the E. For p Claim Claim The p Note	wly proposed or amended claims parate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been allowance because: attached sheet. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is a Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows ims allowed: none ims objected to: none ims rejected: 1-10 and 17-20 a proposed drawing correction filed on the the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-	would be allowable if submitted in a e claims. considered but does NOT place the application in condition not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a (see attached written explanation, if any):

Attachment to advisory action

Applicant's response and the declaration filed May 25, 2000 have been considered but are insufficient to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) set forth in the office action mailed Feb. 28, 2000. The claims are under examination as they read upon the elected species of invention which is a fusion protein comprising epitope 771-979 of IA2, amino acid residues 102-585 of GAD, and 1-110 or PPINS and cDNA encoding such a fusion protein. PPINS is pre pro insulin. Thus the invention under examination is a fusion protein comprising epitopes of IA2, GAD and preproinsulin and. The declaration filed May 25, 2000 does not provide evidence that the inventor was in possession of the invention as early as August 22, 1996 because the evidence relied upon Exhibit 3 is not a certified English translation of Exhibit 1. If a certified English translation of Exhibit 1 is filed, it would be insufficient to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) because Exhibit 3 does not specifically disclose a fusion protein which comprises residues 1-110 of preproinsulin. The declaration discloses a fusion protein comprising GAD65/ICA512/Glioma 38kd, however, such evidence does establish that the inventor was in possession of the invention under examination.

Applicant's argument that it was not known if a protein comprising fragments of more than one antigen could fold correctly to form a three dimensional structure with epitopes exposed is not persuasive. Fusion proteins from comprising fragments of more than one antigen or protein are well known in the art as evidenced by Rogers et al. Additionally the claims do not recite a limitation wherein the fusion protein must retain the three dimensional structure of the intact protein from which the fragments comprising the fusion protein are derived.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 | 6 C/r